FORM

A



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - APPLICATION

Please check the most appropriate category:

Teacher and/or Principal Pradice Rubric	Required Submission
This is an application for providing Teacher Practice Rubric services	A full application with all required materials (including this cover page) shall be submitted foreach rubric.
	Your rubric(s) must be attached in the Appendix section of your submission.
This is an application for providing Principal Practice Rubric services	A full application with all required materials (including this cover page) shall be submitted for <u>each</u> rubric.
	Your rubric(s) must be attached in the Appendix section of your submission.

A separate technical proposal must be **sum**itted for each rubric to be approved.



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL – RUBRIC DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Rubric Design and Implementation(Informational-Onl):

In this section, the applicant should present derive that their submittle practice rubric has a demonstrated record of effectiveness in contring to teacher and/or principal achievement.

1 Describe and detail any empirical	or Clearly labeled tables or graphsdepicting this improvement	
statistical evidence of demonstrate	Ashould be submitted as appendices.	
statistical evidence of demonstratedshould be submitted as appendices. professional achievement for teach-		
ers and/or principals over time as	aResearch confirms that there is a positive associatio	n
result of provider services.	between teacher performance and student achievemen	it.
result of provider services.	Robust performance-based teacher evaluation system	
	are also associated with student learning gains (Nelso	
	2009, Harris & Sass, 2007; Lttle, Goe & Bell, 2009).	
	Four studies by Consortium for Policy Research in Ed-	
	ucation-University of Wisconsin positively correlate	
	performance-based teacher evaluation scores with stu	-
	•	-
	dent achievement growth (Kimball, 2004, Milanowski, 2004). Research shows thathe key elements of a	
	rigorous, comprehensive, performance-based teache	.r
	evaluation system that strengthens teaching an	
	improve student outcomes consists of clearly articulated	
	itt7it545TJ 0.0008inNUTe o90.28S9 Tw T* .rics, 545]TJ	0.01C 0.4
	ttllsoe, perf 3 3	
	F o	r
	ss1tt7.1635	
L I		

The success of performance-based teacher evaluation system is highly dependenton the provision of high quality training for stakeholders around the standards and rubrics as well as the goals of the evaluation system (Mather, Olivia, & Laine, 2008). Systematically training classroom observersand evaluators (e.g. intraand inter-rater reliability) helps ensure their ability to accurately assess teacher epformance (Little, Goe & Bell, 2009). The evaluation protocols and processes associated with the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric are reinforced by extensive training and support for teachers, peer evaluators and administrators.

Anecdotal evidence from districts using the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric is consistent with findings in similar performance systems as documented ih CPSTES (Kane, 2011), connecting specific teaching practices with student achievement outcomes. Descriptions of practices and different performance levels that comprise the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric, as well as specific details about practice that contribute to rating categories, provide evidence of effective teaching practices that can be widely shared and also map out professional development support to individual teachers. The American Institute of Research (AIR) will continue to collect data and identify findings resulting from the use of the NYSUT Teacher Practice

effective, 3 = effective, 2 = developing and 1 = ineffective. A total per standard score is produced by adding individual indicator scores divided by the number of performance indicators assessed. All standard scores are added to produce a total score and divided by the number of standards. The total score is then applied to a locally negotiated conversion chart to determine the subcomponent sore. If a teacher's total subcomponent score is 2.62, according to the sample conversion table, the teacer's rating would be "effective."	
Sample Conversion Table	
Highly Effective $3.5 - 4.0$ Effective $2.5 - 3.49$ Developing $1.5 - 2.49$ Ineffective $1.0 - 1.49$	
Option Two: A point value which is locally negotiated is assigned to each of the sewdeaching standards adding up to 60 points. A local determination is made regarding point allocation for elements/performance indicators to total the point value per standard. Evidence is collected and scored on a 60-point basis; the final score will fall into locally negotiated scoring bands indicating highly effective; effective; developing; ineffective.	

using NYSUT's Teacher Practice Rubric. The estimated cost of the fifteen-hour program is approximately \$110 per person.
Evaluator Training: The NYSUT Education and Learning Trust delivers evaluator training which is a major component of NYSUT's Teacher Evaluation and Development System (TEDS). A well-trained evaluator is a critical element of a successful teacher evaluation system. As destibed above in B-2(6), the training provides an overview of the evaluation system and the process used for formal observations including, but not limited to, analysis of teaching artifacts during the pre-conference, evidence collection, observation, examination of student work, and goal setting and developing learning plans.
The training includes 30 hours of intensive training followed by 15 hours of in-district support with paired coaching to develop evidence ollection and inter-rater reliability skills. The estimated cost of the 45-hour program is \$650 per person, including the paired observations.

	fiscal audits, Dunn & Bradstree reports, etc., submitted as Appe dices.	
4.	Copy of the organization's 501(c) certificate or State license.	Please clearly identify and att e h this documentation in the Appendix section.
5.	Information as to whether lawsui have been filed against the orga zation for educational and/or fisc mismanagement, civil rights viola tions, criminal act(s), or other re son(s); and indicate the outcor of each instance.	ni- al a- a-
6.	Information as to whether the or ganization has been denied to ability to conduct business in an state and indicate the reason for such denial.	he ny
7.	Information as to whether the or ganization has been debarred suspended from doing busine with any local government, stat or the federal government.	or ss
8.	Information as to whether the or ganization has been approved a teacher and/or principal evaluation service provider in another state and specify such state(s).	s a on

1	